



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

ADDENDUM #1

Date: August 22, 2007

To: Interested Firms

From: Kent Beers, Project Manager, DFCM

Reference: Division of Facilities Construction and Management
Statewide Facility Condition and Infrastructure Assessments
DFCM Project No. 06147300

Subject: **Addendum No. 1**

Pages	Addendum	1	page
	Addendum #1 Answers to Questions	3	pages
	Total	4	pages

Note: This Addendum shall be included as part of the Contract Documents. Items in this Addendum apply to all drawings and specification sections whether referenced or not involving the portion of the work added, deleted, modified, or otherwise addressed in the Addendum. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to disqualification.

1.1 SCHEDULE CHANGES – There are no changes to the Project Schedule.

1.2 GENERAL ITEMS:

See Attached Answers to Questions: Addendum #1, Statewide Facility Condition Assessments and Infrastructure Assessments, DFCM Project # 06147300.

End of Addendum #1



Addendum #1
Statewide Facility Condition Assessments and Infrastructure Assessments
DFCM Project # 06147300

Answers to questions submitted to DFCM pertaining to this project.

Question #1: What is the name of the software package currently used for the Facility Condition Assessment Database?

Answer: Software Name: AMS WEB - Developer, ISES Corporation

Question #2: Does the firm responding to the solicitation have to be an Architectural Firm:

Answer: No. Any firm qualified and experienced in conducting Facility Condition Assessments may apply. However, it is recommended that assessment teams (the groups conducting the on site Facility Condition Assessments) have an architect as a member of the team.

Question #3: Is AutoCAD used as a stand alone product, or is it embedded into the "FCA Database" referred to as the current system the state uses to manage it's capital assets ?

Answer: Auto CAD is a Stand alone product, used to generate drawings of the facilities. The drawings are then transferred into the database (current system).

Question #4: On page 3 of the document, paragraph 2, item "d", we [firms] are to, "locate items with AutoCAD and Micro Station utilizing the existing facility floor plan drawings". By that do you mean "identify the location of needed requirements?"

Answer: Yes

Question #5: Is the current FCA Database referred to in the document a "home grown" Access, or Excel, database, or, a third party supplied product?

Answer: Third Party (see question #6 below)

Question #6: If the FCA Database is a third party supplied product, could you tell us who the developer is?

Answer: ISES Corporation, 2165 West park Court suite N, Stone Mountain, GA 30087 Phone: 770-879-7376

Question #7: If the FCA Database is home grown, would the state consider replacing it with an off the shelf, web-enabled software package so long as the bidder could establish the viability of the product and support into the future ?

Answer: Yes, as long as viability, support and cost effectiveness can be demonstrated. Note that the cost of converting the existing database would have to be included in the firm's FCA proposal.

Question #8: If the current FCA Database is a third party supplied product, can the state guarantee adequate training at reasonable prices to the successful bidder?

Answer: No the state cannot guarantee adequate training at a reasonable price. Complete training will have to be obtained from ISES Corporation. The state can train firms to use the existing database but entering projects and drawings is a more complicated task that will require training from ISES Corporation. When asked about training, ISES Corporation responded, “. . . we would be glad to initiate any and all training that is necessary, in order to utilize the system as designed. ISES would do this for a reasonable Fee.”

Question #9: Would the state be able to guarantee a means of sufficient access to this product at a reasonable cost to enable the assessment data to be input by the successful bidder as efficiently as possible?

Answer: Yes, the state can grant access to the system for data input.

Question #10: Proof of Professional License in the State of Utah (Page 9, Section 11, 4th bullet)- Is this an absolute requirement, or, will the state consider the quality and quantity of the bidders experience in conducting assessments throughout the USA, in addition to an established process that assures compliance with state and local building codes, as an acceptable alternative?

Answer: In reviewing this issue with the Attorney General's Office, it does not appear that the services required to conduct FCA's require licensing in the state of Utah. Therefore, the requirement that firms be licensed in the state of Utah is waived for this project. However, firms conducting condition assessments may not violate any of the licensing requirements contained in statute. For questions pertaining to licensing requirements in the state of Utah, firms should contact the Utah Department of Commerce, Occupational & Professional Licensing: 801-530-6628.

Note: Waiving the licensing requirement does not minimize the qualifications criteria outlined in the “Statement of Qualification” section of the Solicitation Document. Firms with assessment teams comprised of licensed architects, engineers, etc. (meaning licensed in any state) may be scored higher by the selection committee than firms without such licensed professionals.

Question #11: Past Performance Ratings (Page 10, section 15, paragraph A)-

If a prime bidder lacks sufficient experience with the state to earn the allocated points for this item, will they still be able to earn up to the maximum of 30 points if sufficient successful experience with other similar projects is established?

Answer: Reference scores obtained for each firm will be evaluated and scored by the selection committee. It is impossible to predict how individual committee members will score references in advance. However, each firm submitting the required references will have the possibility of receiving up to 30 points in this category.

Each Firm wishing to compete for this project that has not completed at least three DFCM Facility Condition Assessments in the last five years, will be required to provide one copy of a list of reference on additional or similar projects for a total of three projects.

For non-DFCM Facility Condition Assessment projects provide the following information:

Point of Contact:	Person able to answer customer satisfaction questions.
Phone Number:	Phone number of the contact we will be surveying.
User Name:	Name of Company that purchased the FCA services.
Project Name:	Name of the project.
Date Completed:	Date of when the work was completed.
Address:	Street, city and state where the work was performed.
Size:	Size of project in dollars.
Duration:	Duration of the project.
Type:	Type of facility assessed (School, Offices, Warehouse, Prison, etc)